

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/092,072 03/06/2002		Wayne H. Rothschild	47079-0125 8523		
30223	30223 7590 12/07/2006		EXAMINER		
JENKENS & GILCHRIST, P.C. 225 WEST WASHINGTON			HOEL, MATTHEW D		
SUITE 2600			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CHICAGO, IL 60606			3714 ·		

DATE MAILED: 12/07/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
10/092,072	ROTHSCHILD, WAYNE H.			
Examiner	Art Unit			
Matthew D. Hoel	3714			

	Mattnew D. Hoel	3/14					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appea	rs on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 13 November 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN							
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70		E 1 11(0) 1(E) E1 W(0)					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL							
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed. 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since				
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>							
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);							
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett		educing or simplifying	the issues for				
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	orresponding number of finally re	iected claims					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	orresponding number of imally re	jeoted ciaims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	See attached Notice of Non-Co	omnliant Amendment	(PTOL-324)				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		simplicate / anothericate	(1 102 02 1).				
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be alle		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the				
non-allowable claim(s): 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)	7 will not be entered or b\□ w	ill he entered and an	volenation of				
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		iii be entered and an e	explanation of				
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3,6-15,19,21-35,39-55,58 and 62-65</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	Is to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	does NOT place the application	n condition for allowa	nce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	160					
•	VIIA	NM THAI					
•	SUPERVISORY	PATENT EXAMINE	R				

TC3700

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Regarding "configuration," the claims were interpreted as broadly as reasonable. Neither the claims nor the meaning of the term require software to be physically installed on the hard drive of the gaming device, as the applicant seems to intend. The configuration in '866 is that the game can be executed in the player terminal's memory. '866 teaches online games in which audiovisual content is transmitted to the player's terminal (virtual slot machines, 14:16-25, Fig. 6; computer simulated chess room, 21:16-26; online computer games, 22:23-27; graphical representations of cards in card game, Fig. 9, 22:14-21). Harkham thus does not discuss transmitting only the A/V content from the cameras capturing the physical games. In the virtual slot machine embodiment of '866, the system would inherently transmit A/V information to the player's terminal, as is done for the other online computer games disclosed in '866.